

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/042,754	07/23/2002	David L. Burke	Svejkovsky 22-3	2221
7590 07/19/2006			EXAM	INER
Loren G. Helmreich			PADEN, CAROLYN A	
Browning Bushman 5718 Westheimer, Ste. 1800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Houston, TX 77057			1761	

DATE MAILED: 07/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u></u>
	10/042,754	BURKE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carolyn A. Paden	1761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 N	<u>//ay 2006</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under t	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>83-86,98-109 and 111-114</u> is/are per	nding in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	= '''		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>83-86, 98-109,111-114</u> is/are rejected	d.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc	tion is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Offi	ice Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applic	eation No	
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rece	ived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	I Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 83-86, 98-109, 111-114 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burke (6,588,363) for reasons of record.

In order to overcome a rejection based upon 35 USC 103, based upon (e), applicant must proved a statement of common ownership "at the time of applicants' invention". Applicants' argument does not include this phrase. Accordingly the rejection is maintained.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection

Art Unit: 1761

based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 83-86, 98-109, 111-114 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 59-65 of U.S. Patent No. 6,588,363. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it is not seen that the speed of the forward movement relative to the backward movement alone constitutes unobviousness.

Applicant argues that the claims in the prior patent are not identical to the present claimed invention. But the claims were not rejected under double patenting. The claims were rejected under obviousness type double patenting. Applicant argues that the claims do not disclose a tumble drum with forward and backward movement. This argument has been considered but is not persuasive. Claim 59 provides for a product tray with a forward and backward movement and a sensor for providing a product feed that is related to the volume of product. One of ordinary skill

Art Unit: 1761

in the art would expect the tumble drum to have a forward and backward movement in order to provide for a consistent product feed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn A Paden whose telephone number is (571) 272-1403. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7 am to 3:30 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/042,754 Page 5

Art Unit: 1761

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano, can be reached on (571) 272-1398 or by dialing 571-272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CAPOLYN PADEN 7-14-06